MUNICIPALITY OF MISSION HILL

INTERNAL CONTROL REVIEW

August 3, 2016

MUNICIPALITY OF MISSION HILL TABLE OF CONTENTS

Report on the Limited Study of Internal Control Performed in	
Accordance with South Dakota Codified Law 4-11-4.1	1

<u>Page</u>



427 SOUTH CHAPELLE C/O 500 EAST CAPITOL PIERRE SD 57501-5070 (605)773-3595

MARTIN L. GUINDON, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL

REPORT ON THE LIMITED STUDY OF INTERNAL CONTROL PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SOUTH DAKOTA CODIFIED LAW 4-11-4.1

Governing Board Municipality of Mission Hill Mission Hill, South Dakota

We have made a study of selected elements of internal control of the Municipality of Mission Hill (Municipality) in effect at August 3, 2016. Our study was performed pursuant to South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) 4-11-4.1 and was limited to selected accounting controls contained in the codified laws and other selected controls we felt were significant to the Municipality. Our study was not conducted in accordance with the standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for the purpose of giving an opinion on internal control in effect at the Municipality.

The management of the Municipality is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control policies and procedures. The objective of internal controls is to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition, and that transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and recorded properly to permit the preparation of general purpose financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of inherent limitations in internal controls, errors or irregularities may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the internal controls to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operations of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Our study was more limited than would be necessary to express an opinion on internal control of the Municipality. Also, our study would not necessarily disclose all significant weaknesses in internal controls of the Municipality. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on internal control of the Municipality in effect at August 3, 2016.

However, our study did disclose weaknesses in internal controls of the Municipality in effect at August 3, 2016 as discussed below:

a. The governing board has not provided sufficient surety bond coverage for the finance officer. SDCL 9-14-6.1 requires a bond equal to the amount of cash on hand but the coverage need not exceed \$150,000. The Municipality had a cash balance

exceeding \$400,000 during the period of this review, but the surety bond coverage for the finance officer was only \$50,000. We recommend the Municipality increase the finance officer surety bond to \$150,000 as required by SDCL 9-14-6.1.

- b. Personal property inventories were not filed annually on the thirty-first day of December, or within ten days thereafter as required by SDCL 5-24-1. We recommend the Municipality annually perform personal property inventories as required by SDCL 5-24-1.
- c. The Municipality did not properly maintain the following necessary records:
 - 1. Accounts receivable general ledger and corresponding records
 - 2. Cash Receipts Journal
 - 3. Cash Disbursements Journal
 - 4. Revenue Budget Record
 - 5. Expenditure Budget Record
 - 6. Customer Deposit Record

We recommend the Municipality properly establish and maintain the necessary accounting records.

- d. The Municipality did not issue duplicate receipts as required by SDCL 9-22-3. We recommend the Municipality issue duplicate receipts for all cash collections, and record all transactions in a cash receipts journal identifying the payer, date and form of payment, including batch receipts for utilities and receipts for direct deposits as required by SDCL 9-22-3.
- e. The following deficiencies were noted in the preparation of vouchers:
 - 1. The vouchers did not contain a perjury statement to be signed for personal services and/or travel in accordance with SDCL 9-23-1. In addition, evidence of verification by the finance officer was not included on the voucher.
 - 2. The vouchers were not coded to the fund, function and object to facilitate the proper approval by the governing board and for the proper posting to the cash disbursement journal and expenditure budget record.

We recommend that vouchers be properly prepared and verified as required by SDCL 9-23-1.

This report is intended solely for the use of management and the governing board and should not be used for any other purpose. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Martin L Guindon, CPA Auditor General

August 3, 2016